NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 900009/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NASSAU	
X	
	Date Index No. Purchased:
GERARD BLASICH,	Index No.:
Plaintiff,	Plaintiff designates Nassau
-against-	County as the place of trial.
THE DIOCESE OF ROCKVILLE CENTRE and ST. MARTHA ROMAN CATHOLIC CHURCH,	The basis of venue is Plaintiff's residence.
	SUMMONS
DefendantsX	Plaintiff resides in Nassau County

The Above Named Defendants:

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED to answer the complaint in this action and to serve a copy of your answer, or, if the complaint is not served with this summons, to serve a notice of appearance, on the Plaintiff's Attorney(s) within twenty (20) days after the service of this summons, exclusive of the day of service (or within thirty (30) days after the service is complete if this summons is not personally delivered to you within the State of New York); and in case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against you by default for the relief demanded in the complaint.

Dated: New York, New York August 14, 2019

Yours, etc.,

By: Adam P. Slater, Esq.

SLATER SLATER SCHULMAN LLP

Counsel for Plaintiff

488 Madison Avenue, 20th Floor

New York, New York 10022

(212) 922-0906

-and-

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 900009/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

By Gary Certain, Esq.

**ERTAIN & ZILBERG, PLLC** 

Counsel for Plaintiff

488 Madison Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10022 (212) 687-7800

TO:

THE DIOCESE OF ROCKVILLE CENTRE 50 North Park Avenue Rockville Centre, New York 11570

ST. MARTHA ROMAN CATHOLIC CHURCH 546 Greengrove Avenue Uniondale, New York 11553

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 900009/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

Plaintiff, GERARD BLASICH ("Plaintiff"), by his attorneys Slater Slater Schulman LLP and Certain & Zilberg, PLLC, brings this action against THE DIOCESE OF ROCKVILLE CENTRE ("Diocese") and ST. MARTHA ROMAN CATHOLIC CHURCH ("Church") and alleges, on personal knowledge as to himself and on information and belief as to all other matters, as follows:

X

#### **JURISIDICTION AND VENUE**

- This action is brought pursuant to the Children Victims Act ("CVA") (L. 2019 c.
   See CPLR §§ 30.10; 208(b); 214-g.
- 2. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the Diocese pursuant to CPLR §§ 301 and 302, in that the Diocese either resides in New York or conducts or, at relevant times conducted, activities in New York that give rise to the claims asserted herein.
- 3. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the Church pursuant to CPLR §§ 301 and 302, in that the Church either resides in New York or conducts or, at relevant times conducted, activities in New York that give rise to the claims asserted herein.

SCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 900009/2019 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

4. This Court has jurisdiction over this action because the amount of damages Plaintiff seeks exceeds the jurisdictional limits of all lower courts which would otherwise have jurisdiction.

5. Venue for this action is proper in the County of Nassau pursuant to CPLR § 503 in that Plaintiff resides in this County and a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims asserted herein occurred here.

#### **PARTIES**

- 6. Plaintiff is an individual residing in Nassau County, New York. Plaintiff was an infant at the time of the sexual abuse alleged herein.
- 7. At all times material to the Verified Complaint, Diocese was and continues to be a non-profit religious corporation, organized exclusively for charitable, religious, and educational purposes within the meaning of Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code.
- 8. At all times material to the Verified Complaint, Diocese was and remains authorized to conduct business under the laws of the State of New York.
- At all times material to the Verified Complaint, Diocese's principal place of 9. business is 50 N Park Ave, Rockville Centre, NY 11571.
- The Diocese oversees a variety of liturgical, sacramental, and faith formation 10. programs.
- 11. The Diocese has various programs that seek out the participation of children in its activities.
- 12. The Diocese, through its agents, servants, and/or employees has control over those activities involving children.
- 13. The Diocese has the power to employ individuals working with and/or alongside children within defendant Diocese, including but not limited to those at the Church.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

INDEX NO. 900009/2019

14. At all times material to the Verified Complaint, the Church was and continues to be a religious New York State non-profit entity.

- 15. At all times material to the Verified Complaint, the Church maintained its principal place of business at 546 Greengrove Avenue, Uniondale, New York 11553.
- 16. At all times material to the Verified Complaint, the Church is a parish operating under the control of the Diocese.
- 17. At all times material to the Verified Complaint, the Church is a parish operating for the benefit of the Diocese.
- 18. At all times material to the Verified Complaint, Father Anthony Trapani was an agent, servant, and/or employee of the Church.
- 19. At all times material to the Verified Complaint, Father Anthony Trapani was an agent, servant, and/or employee of the Diocese.
- 20. At all times material to the Verified Complaint, while an agent, servant and/or employee of the Church, Father Anthony Trapani remained under the control and supervision of the Diocese.
- 21. At all times material to the Verified Complaint, while an agent, servant and/or employee of the Church, Father Anthony Trapani remained under the control and supervision of the Church.
- 22. At all times material to the Verified Complaint, while an agent, servant and/or employee of the Diocese, Father Anthony Trapani remained under the control and supervision of the Diocese.

INDEX NO. 900009/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

23. At all times material to the Verified Complaint, while an agent, servant and/or employee of the Diocese, Father Anthony Trapani remained under the control and supervision of

the Church.

24. The Church placed Father Anthony Trapani in positions where he had immediate

access to children.

25. The Diocese placed Father Anthony Trapani in positions where he had immediate

access to children.

THE CATHOLIC CHURCH'S LONG HISTORY OF COVERING UP CHILD SEXUAL ABUSE

26. In 1962, the Vatican in Rome issued a Papal Instruction binding upon all Bishops

throughout the world including the Bishop of the Diocese. The instruction was binding upon the

Bishop of the Diocese. The instruction directed that allegations and reports of sexual abuse of

children by priests were required to be kept secret and not disclosed either to civil authorities such

as law enforcement, to co-employees or supervisors of parish priests, or to parishioners generally.

27. Canon law requires Bishops to keep *subsecreto* files also known as confidential

files. These files are not to be made public.

28. Because of problems of sexual misconduct of Catholic clergy, the Catholic Church

and other organizations sponsored treatment centers for priests that had been involved in sexual

misconduct, including centers in Albuquerque, New Mexico, Suitland, Maryland, Downington

Pennsylvania, and Ontario, Canada.

29. Sexual abuse of clerics by Catholic clergy has been a reality in the Catholic Church

for centuries but has remained covered by deep secrecy. This secrecy is rooted in the official

policies of the Catholic Church which are applicable to all dioceses and in fact are part of the

practices of each diocese, including the Diocese. Sexual abuse of minors by Catholic clergy and

08/14/2019 COUNTY CLERK

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 900009/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

religious leaders became publicly known in the mid 1980's as a result of media coverage of a case

in Lafayette, Louisiana. Since that time, the media has continued to expose cases of clergy sexual

abuse throughout the United States. In spite of these revelations as well as the many criminal and

civil litigations the Church has been involved in as a result of clergy sexual abuse of minors, the

bishops and other Church leaders continued to pursue a policy of secrecy.

30. All of the procedures required in the so-called "Dallas Charter" to purportedly

protect children have been previously mandated in the Code of Canon Law but were consistently

ignored by Catholic bishops. In place of the required processes, which would have kept a written

record of cases of clergy sexual abuse, the bishops applied a policy of clandestine transfer of

accused priests from one local or diocesan assignment to another or from one diocese to another.

The receiving parishioners and often the receiving pastors were not informed of any accusations

of sexual abuse of minors.

31. The truth concerning the extent of the frequency of sexual abuse at the hands of

Catholic priests and Catholic Church's pervasive campaign to cover up such crimes continues to

be revealed. In 2018, the State of Pennsylvania released a grand jury report releasing the name of

over 300 "predator priests" in Pennsylvania alone who committed acts of sexual abuse on more

than a thousand children, while also noting that there were "likely thousands more victims whose

records were lost or who were too afraid to come forward." The report's opening remarks bear

repeating here:

We, the members of this grand jury, need you to hear this. We know some of you have heard some of it before. There have been other reports about child sex abuse within the Catholic Church. But never on this scale. For

many of us, those earlier stories happened someplace else, someplace away.

Now we know the truth: it happened everywhere.

Most of the victims were boys; but there were girls too. Some were teens;

many were prepubescent. Some were manipulated with alcohol or

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

INDEX NO. 900009/2019

pornography. Some were made to masturbate their assailants or were groped by them. Some were raped orally, some vaginally, some anally. But all of them were brushed aside, in every part of the state, by church leaders who preferred to protect the abusers and their institution above all.

32. The 2018 grand jury report found numerous, pervasive strategies employed by the

Catholic Church which the report referred to collectively as a "playbook for concealing the truth."

These measures include but are not limited to the following:

- Make sure to use euphemisms rather than real words to describe the sexual assaults in diocese documents. Never say "rape"; say "inappropriate contact" or "boundary issues."
- Don't conduct genuine investigations with properly trained personnel. Instead, assign fellow clergy members to ask inadequate questions and then make credibility determinations about the colleagues with whom they live and work.
- For an appearance of integrity, send priests for "evaluation" at church-run psychiatric treatment centers. Allow these experts to "diagnose" whether the priest was a pedophile, based largely on the priest's "self-reports" and regardless of whether the priest had actually engaged in sexual contact with a child.
- When a priest does have to be removed, don't say why. Tell his parishioners that he is on "sick leave," or suffering from "nervous exhaustion." Or say nothing at all.
- Even if a priest is raping children, keep providing him housing and living expenses, although he may be using these resources to facilitate more sexual assaults.
- If a predator's conduct becomes known to the community, don't remove him from the priesthood to ensure that no more children will be victimized. Instead, transfer him to a new location where no one will know he is a child abuser.
- Finally, and above all, don't tell the police. Child sexual abuse, even short of actual penetration, is and has for all relevant times been a crime. But don't treat it that way; handle it like a personnel matter, "in house."

SCEF DOC. NO. 1

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

INDEX NO. 900009/2019

33. Refusal to disclose sexually abusing clerics to parishioners and even fellow clerics

has been one way utilized by Defendant to maintain secrecy. Another has been to use various

forms of persuasion on victims or their families to convince them to remain silent about incidents

of abuse. These forms of persuasion have included methods that have ranged from sympathetic

attempts to gain silence to direct intimidation to various kinds of threats. In so doing the clergy

involved, from bishops to priests, have relied on their power to overwhelm victims and their

families.

The sexual abuse of children and the Catholic Church's abhorrent culture of 34.

concealing these crimes are at the heart of the allegations complained of herein.

35. The Child Victims Act was enacted for the explicit purpose of providing survivors

of child sexual abuse with the recourse to bring a private right of action against the sexual predators

who abused them and the institutions that concealed their crimes.

**FACTS** 

36. In or around 1977, when Plaintiff was thirteen (13) years old, Plaintiff began

attending the Church, a church within and under the auspices of the Diocese.

37. At all relevant times, Plaintiff participated in youth activities and/or church

activities at the Church.

38. During and through these activities, Plaintiff, as a minor and vulnerable child, was

dependent on the Church and Father Anthony Trapani.

39. During and through these activities the Church had custody of Plaintiff and

accepted the entrustment of Plaintiff.

40. During and through these activities, the Church had responsibility of Plaintiff and

authority over him.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 900009/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

41. During and through these activities, Plaintiff, as a minor and vulnerable child, was

dependent on the Diocese and Father Anthony Trapani. During and through these activities the

Diocese had custody of Plaintiff and accepted the entrustment of Plaintiff.

42. During and through these activities, the Diocese had responsibility of Plaintiff and

authority over him.

43. Through his positions at, within, or for the Church, Father Anthony Trapani was

put in direct contact with Plaintiff, a member of the Church. It was under these circumstances that

Plaintiff came to be under the direction, contact, and control of Father Anthony Trapani, who used

his position of authority and trust over Plaintiff to sexually abuse and harass Plaintiff.

44. Through his positions at, within, or for the Diocese, Father Anthony Trapani was

put in direct contact with Plaintiff, a member of the Church. It was under these circumstances that

Plaintiff came to be under the direction, contact, and control of Father Anthony Trapani, who used

his position of authority and trust over Plaintiff to sexually abuse and harass Plaintiff.

45. On numerous occasions, while Plaintiff was a minor, Father Anthony Trapani while

acting as a priest, counselor, teacher, trustee, director, officer, employee, agent, servant and/or

volunteer of the Church sexually assaulted, sexually abused and/or had sexual contact with

Plaintiff in violation of the laws of the State of New York.

46. On numerous occasions, while Plaintiff was a minor, Father Anthony Trapani while

acting as a priest, counselor, teacher, trustee, director, officer, employee, agent, servant and/or

volunteer of the Diocese sexually assaulted, sexually abused and/or had sexual contact with

Plaintiff in violation of the laws of the State of New York.

47. The abuse occurred from approximately in or about 1977 to 1981.

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

INDEX NO. 900009/2019

48. Plaintiff's relationship to the Church, as a vulnerable minor and participant in

Church activities, was one in which Plaintiff was subject to the Church's ongoing influence. The

dominating culture of the Catholic Church over Plaintiff pressured Plaintiff not to report Father

Anthony Trapani's sexual abuse of him.

SCEF DOC. NO. 1

Plaintiff's relationship to the Diocese, as a vulnerable minor and participant in 49.

Church activities, was one in which Plaintiff was subject to the Diocese's ongoing influence. The

dominating culture of the Catholic Church over Plaintiff pressured Plaintiff not to report Father

Anthony Trapani's sexual abuse of him.

50. At no time did the Diocese ever send an official, a member of the clergy, an

investigator or any employee or independent contractor to the Church to advise the parishioners

either verbally or through a church bulletin that there were credible allegations against Father

Anthony Trapani and to request that anyone who saw, suspected or suffered sexual abuse to come

forward and file a report with the police department. Rather, the Diocese remained silent.

51. At all times material hereto, Father Anthony Trapani, was under the direct

supervision, employ and/or control of the Church.

52. At all times material hereto, Father Anthony Trapani, was under the direct

supervision, employ and/or control of the Diocese.

53. The Church knew and/or reasonably should have known, and/or knowingly

condoned, and/or covered up, the inappropriate and unlawful sexual activities of Father Anthony

Trapani who sexually abused Plaintiff.

The Diocese knew and/or reasonably should have known, and/or knowingly 54.

condoned, and/or covered up, the inappropriate and unlawful sexual activities of Father Anthony

Trapani who sexually abused Plaintiff.

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

INDEX NO. 900009/2019

55. The Church negligently or recklessly believed that Father Anthony Trapani was fit

to work with children and/or that any previous problems he had were fixed and cured; that Father

Anthony Trapani would not sexually molest children; and that Father Anthony Trapani would not

injure children.

NYSCEF DOC. NO.

56. The Diocese negligently or recklessly believed that Father Anthony Trapani was fit

to work with children and/or that any previous problems he had were fixed and cured; that Father

Anthony Trapani would not sexually molest children; and that Father Anthony Trapani would not

injure children.

57. The Church had the responsibility to supervise and/or direct priests serving at the

Church, and specifically, had a duty not to aid a pedophile such as Father Anthony Trapani, by

assigning, maintaining and/or appointing him to a position with access to minors.

58. The Diocese had the responsibility to supervise and/or direct priests serving at the

Church, and specifically, had a duty not to aid a pedophile such as Father Anthony Trapani, by

assigning, maintaining and/or appointing him to a position with access to minors.

59. By holding Father Anthony Trapani out as safe to work with children, and by

undertaking the custody, supervision of, and/or care of the minor Plaintiff, the Church entered into

a fiduciary relationship with the minor Plaintiff. As a result of Plaintiff's being a minor, and by

the Church undertaking the care and guidance of the vulnerable minor Plaintiff, the Church held a

position of empowerment over Plaintiff.

60. By holding Father Anthony Trapani out as safe to work with children, and by

undertaking the custody, supervision of, and/or care of the minor Plaintiff, the Diocese entered

into a fiduciary relationship with the minor Plaintiff. As a result of Plaintiff's being a minor, and

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

INDEX NO. 900009/2019

by the Diocese undertaking the care and guidance of the vulnerable minor Plaintiff, the Diocese held a position of empowerment over Plaintiff.

- 61. The Church, by holding itself out as being able to provide a safe environment for children, solicited and/or accepted this position of empowerment. This empowerment prevented the then minor Plaintiff from effectively protecting himself. The Church thus entered into a fiduciary relationship with Plaintiff.
- The Diocese, by holding itself out as being able to provide a safe environment for 62. children, solicited and/or accepted this position of empowerment. This empowerment prevented the then minor Plaintiff from effectively protecting himself. The Diocese thus entered into a fiduciary relationship with Plaintiff.
  - 63. The Church had a special relationship with Plaintiff.
  - 64. The Diocese had a special relationship with Plaintiff.
- The Church owed Plaintiff a duty of reasonable care because the Church had 65. superior knowledge about the risk that Father Anthony Trapani posed to Plaintiff, the risk of abuse in general in its programs, and/or the risks that its facilities posed to minor children.
- The Diocese owed Plaintiff a duty of reasonable care because the Diocese had 66. superior knowledge about the risk that Father Anthony Trapani posed to Plaintiff, the risk of abuse in general in its programs, and/or the risks that its facilities posed to minor children.
- 67. The Church owed Plaintiff a duty of reasonable care because it solicited youth and parents for participation in its youth programs; encouraged youth and parents to have the youth participate in its programs; undertook custody of minor children, including Plaintiff; promoted its facilities and programs as being safe for children; held its agents including Father Anthony Trapani out as safe to work with children; encouraged parents and children to spend time with its agents;

CLERK 08/14/2019 COUNTY

INDEX NO. 900009/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

and/or encouraged its agents, including Father Anthony Trapani, to spend time with, interact with,

and recruit children.

The Diocese owed Plaintiff a duty of reasonable care because it solicited youth and 68.

parents for participation in its youth programs; encouraged youth and parents to have the youth

participate in its programs; undertook custody of minor children, including Plaintiff; promoted its

facilities and programs as being safe for children; held its agents including Father Anthony Trapani

out as safe to work with children; encouraged parents and children to spend time with its agents;

and/or encouraged its agents, including Father Anthony Trapani, to spend time with, interact with,

and recruit children.

69. The Church owed Plaintiff a duty to protect Plaintiff from harm because the

Church's actions created a foreseeable risk of harm to Plaintiff.

70. The Diocese owed Plaintiff a duty to protect Plaintiff from harm because the

Diocese's actions created a foreseeable risk of harm to Plaintiff.

71. The Church's breach of its duties include, but are not limited to: failure to have

sufficient policies and procedures to prevent child sexual abuse, failure to properly implement the

policies and procedures to prevent child sexual abuse, failure to take reasonable measures to make

sure that the policies and procedures to prevent child sexual abuse were working, failure to

adequately inform families and children or the risks of child sexual abuse, failure to investigate

risks of child sexual abuse, failure to properly train the workers at institutions and programs within

the Church, geographical confines, failure to protect children in its programs from child sexual

abuse, failure to adhere to the applicable standard of care for child safety, failure to investigate the

amount and type of information necessary to represent the institutions, programs, leaders and

people as safe, failure to train its employees properly to identify signs of child molestation by

14

INDEX NO. 900009/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

fellow employees, failure by relying on mental health professionals, and/or failure by relying on

people who claimed that they could treat child molesters.

72. The Diocese's breach of its duties include, but are not limited to: failure to have

sufficient policies and procedures to prevent child sexual abuse, failure to properly implement the

policies and procedures to prevent child sexual abuse, failure to take reasonable measures to make

sure that the policies and procedures to prevent child sexual abuse were working, failure to

adequately inform families and children or the risks of child sexual abuse, failure to investigate

risks of child sexual abuse, failure to properly train the workers at institutions and programs within

the Diocese, geographical confines, failure to protect children in its programs from child sexual

abuse, failure to adhere to the applicable standard of care for child safety, failure to investigate the

amount and type of information necessary to represent the institutions, programs, leaders and

people as safe, failure to train its employees properly to identify signs of child molestation by

fellow employees, failure by relying on mental health professionals, and/or failure by relying on

people who claimed that they could treat child molesters.

73. The Church also breached its duties to Plaintiff by failing to warn Plaintiff and

Plaintiff's family of the risk that Father Anthony Trapani posed and the risks of child sexual abuse

by clerics. The Church also failed to warn Plaintiff about any of the knowledge that it had about

child sexual abuse.

74. The Diocese also breached its duties to Plaintiff by failing to warn Plaintiff and

Plaintiff's family of the risk that Father Anthony Trapani posed and the risks of child sexual abuse

by clerics. The Diocese also failed to warn Plaintiff about any of the knowledge that it had about

child sexual abuse.

15

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

INDEX NO. 900009/2019

75. The Church also violated a legal duty by failing to report known and/or suspected

abuse of children by Father Anthony Trapani and/or its other agents to the police and law

enforcement.

76. The Diocese also violated a legal duty by failing to report known and/or suspected

abuse of children by Father Anthony Trapani and/or its other agents to the police and law

enforcement.

77. By employing Father Anthony Trapani at the Church, and other facilities within the

Diocese, the Diocese, through its agents, affirmatively represented to minor children and their

families that Father Anthony Trapani did not pose a threat to children, did not have a history of

molesting children, that the Diocese did not know that Father Anthony Trapani had a history of

molesting children, and that the Diocese did not know that Father Anthony Trapani was a danger

to children.

By employing Father Anthony Trapani at the Church, the Church through its 78.

agents, affirmatively represented to minor children and their families that Father Anthony Trapani

did not pose a threat to children, did not have a history of molesting children, that the Church did

not know that Father Anthony Trapani had a history of molesting children, and that the Church did

not know that Father Anthony Trapani was a danger to children.

The Church induced Plaintiff and Plaintiff's family to rely on these affirmations 79.

and did rely on them.

80. The Diocese has never publicly admitted the veracity of the allegations against

Father Anthony Trapani, warned the public and/or conducted outreach to potential victims of his

sexual abuse. The pattern and practice of intentionally failing to disclose the identities and

locations of sexually inappropriate and/or abusive clerics has been practiced by the Diocese for

16

CLERK 08/14/2019 COUNTY

INDEX NO. 900009/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

decades and continues through current day. The failure to disclose the identities of allegedly sexually inappropriate and/or abusive clerics is unreasonable and knowingly or recklessly creates or maintains a condition which endangers the safety or health of a considerable number of

members of the public, including Plaintiff.

By allowing Father Anthony Trapani to remain in active ministry, the Diocese, 81.

through its agents, has made and continues to make affirmative representations to minor children

and their families, including Plaintiff and Plaintiff's family, that Father Anthony Trapani does not

pose a threat to children, does not have a history of molesting children, that the Diocese does not

know that Father Anthony Trapani has a history of molesting children and that the Diocese does

not know that Father Anthony Trapani is a danger to children.

82. The Diocese induced Plaintiff and Plaintiff's family to rely on these affirmations

and they did rely on them.

By allowing Father Anthony Trapani to remain in active ministry, the Church, 83.

through its agents, has made and continues to make affirmative representations to minor children

and their families, including Plaintiff and Plaintiff's family, that Father Anthony Trapani does not

pose a threat to children, does not have a history of molesting children, that the Church does not

know that Father Anthony Trapani has a history of molesting children and that the Church does

not know that Father Anthony Trapani is a danger to children.

As a result of Defendants' conduct described herein, Plaintiff has and will continue 84.

to suffer personal physical and psychological injuries, including but not limited to great pain of

mind and body, severe and permanent emotional distress, physical manifestations of emotional

distress, problems sleeping, concentrating, low self-confidence, low self-respect, low self-esteem,

feeling of worthlessness, feeling shameful, and embarrassed, feeling alone and isolated, losing

17

and the extent of the harm Plaintiff suffered as a result.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

INDEX NO. 900009/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

faith in God, losing faith in authority figures, feeling estranged from the church, struggling with alcohol and substance problems, struggling with gainful employment and career advancement, feeling helpless, and hopeless, problems with sexual intimacy, relationship problems, trust issues, feeling confused and angry, depression, anxiety, feeling dirty, used, and damaged, suicidal ideations, having traumatic flashbacks, feeling that his/her childhood and innocence was stolen, and feeling that his life was ruined. Plaintiff was prevented and will continue to be prevented from performing Plaintiff's normal daily activities; has incurred and will continue to incur expenses for medical and psychological treatment, therapy, and counseling; and, on information and belief, has incurred and will continue to incur loss of income and/or loss of earning capacity. As a victim of

85. The Church violated various New York statutes, including, but not limited to N.Y. Soc. Serv. Law §§ 413 and 420, which require, *inter alia*, school officials, teachers, day care center workers, providers of family or group family day care, and any other child care worker to report suspected cases of child abuse and impose liability for failure to report.

Defendants' misconduct, Plaintiff is unable at this time to fully describe all the details of that abuse

- 86. The Diocese violated various New York statutes, including, but not limited to N.Y. Soc. Serv. Law §§ 413 and 420, which require, *inter alia*, school officials, teachers, day care center workers, providers of family or group family day care, and any other child care worker to report suspected cases of child abuse and impose liability for failure to report.
- 87. The injuries and damages suffered by Plaintiff are specific in kind to Plaintiff special, peculiar, and above and beyond those injuries and damages suffered by the public.

COUNTY CLERK 08/14/2019 03:12

INDEX NO. 900009/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION: NEGLIGENCE

88. Plaintiff repeats and realleges by reference each and every allegation set forth above

as if fully set forth herein.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

89. The Church knew or was negligent in not knowing that Father Anthony Trapani

posed a threat of sexual abuse to children.

The Diocese knew or was negligent in not knowing that Father Anthony Trapani 90.

posed a threat of sexual abuse to children.

91. The acts of Father Anthony Trapani described hereinabove were undertaken, and/or

enabled by, and/or during the course, and/or within the scope of his employment, appointment,

and/or agency with the Church.

92. The acts of Father Anthony Trapani described hereinabove were undertaken, and/or

enabled by, and/or during the course, and/or within the scope of his employment, appointment,

and/or agency with the Diocese.

93. The Church owed Plaintiff a duty to protect Plaintiff from Father Anthony

Trapani's sexual deviancy, both prior to and/or subsequent to Father Anthony Trapani's

misconduct.

94. The Diocese owed Plaintiff a duty to protect Plaintiff from Father Anthony

Trapani's sexual deviancy, both prior to and/or subsequent to Father Anthony Trapani's

misconduct.

95. The Church's willful, wanton, grossly negligent and/or negligent act(s) of

commission and/or omission, resulted directly and/or proximately in the damages set forth herein

at length.

INDEX NO. 900009/2019

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

> 96. The Diocese's willful, wanton, grossly negligent and/or negligent act(s) of commission and/or omission, resulted directly and/or proximately in the damages set forth herein at length.

- 97. At all times material hereto, with regard to the allegations contained herein, Father Anthony Trapani was under the direct supervision, employ and/or control of the Church.
- 98. At all times material hereto, with regard to the allegations contained herein, Father Anthony Trapani was under the direct supervision, employ and/or control of the Diocese.
- 99. At all times material hereto, the Church's actions were willful, wanton, malicious, reckless, and outrageous in its disregard for the rights and safety of Plaintiff.
- 100. At all times material hereto, the Diocese's actions were willful, wanton, malicious, reckless, and outrageous in its disregard for the rights and safety of Plaintiff
- 101. As a direct and/or indirect result of said conduct, Plaintiff has suffered the injuries and damages described herein.
- 102. By reason of the foregoing, Defendants jointly, severally and/or in the alternative, are liable to Plaintiff for compensatory damages, and for punitive damages, together with interest and costs.

## SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION: NEGLIGENT HIRING, RETENTION, SUPERVISION, AND/OR DIRECTION

- Plaintiff repeats and realleges by reference each and every allegation set forth in 103. paragraphs 1 through 102 as if fully set forth herein.
  - 104. The Church hired Father Anthony Trapani.
  - 105. The Diocese hired Father Anthony Trapani.
- The Church hired Father Anthony Trapani for a position that required him to work 106. closely with, mentor, and counsel young boys and girls.

SCEF DOC. NO. 1

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

INDEX NO. 900009/2019

Diocese hired Father Anthony Trapani for a position that required him to work 107.

closely with, mentor, and counsel young boys and girls.

The Church was negligent in hiring Father Anthony Trapani because it knew or 108.

should have known, through the exercise of reasonable care of Father Anthony Trapani's

propensity to develop inappropriate relationships with children in his charge and to engage in

sexual behavior and lewd and lascivious conduct with such children.

The Diocese was negligent in hiring Father Anthony Trapani because it knew or 109.

should have known, through the exercise of reasonable care of Father Anthony Trapani's

propensity to develop inappropriate relationships with children in his charge and to engage in

sexual behavior and lewd and lascivious conduct with such children.

110. Father Anthony Trapani would not and could not have been in a position to sexually

abuse Plaintiff had he not been hired by the Church to mentor and counsel children in the Church.

111. Father Anthony Trapani continued to molest Plaintiff while at the Church.

112. Father Anthony Trapani would not and could not have been in a position to sexually

abuse Plaintiff had he not been hired by Diocese to mentor and counsel children in the Church.

113. Father Anthony Trapani continued to molest plaintiff while at the Church.

114. The harm complained of herein was foreseeable.

115. Plaintiff would have been not suffered the foreseeable harm complained of herein

but for the negligence of the Church in having placed Father Anthony Trapani, and/or allowed

Father Anthony Trapani to remain in his position.

Plaintiff would have been not suffered the foreseeable harm complained of herein 116.

but for the negligence of the Diocese in having placed Father Anthony Trapani, and/or allowed

Father Anthony Trapani to remain in his position.

INDEX NO. 900009/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

At all times while Father Anthony Trapani was employed or appointed by the 117.

Church, he was supervised by the Church and/or its agents and employees.

At all times while Father Anthony Trapani was employed or appointed by the 118.

Church, he was under the direction of, and/or answerable to, the Church and/or its agents and

employees.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

119. At all times while Father Anthony Trapani was employed or appointed by the

Diocese, he was supervised by the Diocese and/or its agents and employees.

At all times while Father Anthony Trapani was employed or appointed by the 120.

Diocese, he was under the direction of, and/or answerable to, the Diocese and/or its agents and

employees.

121. The Church was negligent in its direction and/or supervision of Father Anthony

Trapani in that it knew or should have known, through the exercise of ordinary care that Father

Anthony Trapani's conduct would subject third parties to an unreasonable risk of harm, including

Father Anthony Trapani's propensity to develop inappropriate relationships with children under

his charge and to engage in sexual behavior and lewd and lascivious conduct with such children.

122. The Church failed to take steps to prevent such conduct from occurring.

123. The Diocese was negligent in its direction and / or supervision of Father Anthony

Trapani in that it knew or should have known, through the exercise of ordinary care, that Father

Anthony Trapani's conduct would subject third parties to an unreasonable risk of harm, including

Father Anthony Trapani's propensity to develop inappropriate relationships with children under

his charge and to engage in sexual behavior and lewd and lascivious conduct with such children.

124. The Diocese failed to take steps to prevent such conduct from occurring.

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

INDEX NO. 900009/2019

The Church was negligent in its retention of Father Anthony Trapani in that that it 125.

knew, or should have known, of his propensity to develop inappropriate relationships with children

under his charge and to engage in sexual behavior and lewd and lascivious conduct with such

children.

SCEF DOC. NO. 1

The Church retained Father Anthony Trapani in his position as mentor and 126.

counselor to such children and thus left him in a position to continue such behavior.

The Diocese was negligent in its retention of Father Anthony Trapani in that that it 127.

knew, or should have known, of his propensity to develop inappropriate relationships with children

under his charge and to engage in sexual behavior and lewd and lascivious conduct with such

children.

128. The Diocese retained Father Anthony Trapani in his position as mentor and

counselor to such children and thus left him in a position to continue such behavior.

The Church was further negligent in its retention, supervision, and/or direction of 129.

Father Anthony Trapani in that Father Anthony Trapani sexually molested Plaintiff on the

premises of the Church.

The Church failed to take reasonable steps to prevent such events from occurring

on its premises.

131. The Diocese was further negligent in its retention, supervision, and/or direction of

Father Anthony Trapani in that Father Anthony Trapani sexually molested Plaintiff on the

premises of the Diocese.

The Diocese failed to take reasonable steps to prevent such events from occurring

on its premises.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 900009/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

Father Anthony Trapani would not and could not have been in a position to sexually abuse Plaintiff had he not been negligently retained, supervised, and/or directed by the Church as

a mentor and counselor to the infant parishioners of the Church, including Plaintiff.

Father Anthony Trapani would not and could not have been in a position to sexually 134.

abuse Plaintiff had he not been negligently retained, supervised, and/or directed by the Diocese as

a mentor and counselor to the infant parishioners of the Diocese, including Plaintiff.

By reason of the foregoing, Defendants, jointly, severally and/or in the alternative, 135.

are liable to Plaintiff for compensatory damages, and for punitive damages, together with interest

and costs

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION: BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY

Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1 136.

through 135 as if fully set forth herein.

137. Through the position to which Father Anthony Trapani was assigned by the Church,

Father Anthony Trapani was placed in direct contact with Plaintiff.

138. Father Anthony Trapani was assigned as a priest at the Church, including as a

teacher assigned to teach Plaintiff.

It was under these circumstances that Plaintiff was entrusted to the care of the

Church and - under its authority - came to be under the direction, control and dominance of, Father

Anthony Trapani.

140. As a result, Father Anthony Trapani used his position to sexually abuse and harass

Plaintiff.

141. Through the position to which Father Anthony Trapani was assigned by the

Diocese, Father Anthony Trapani was put in direct contact with Plaintiff, then a minor.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

INDEX NO. 900009/2019

As a result, Father Anthony Trapani used his position to sexually abuse and harass 142. Plaintiff.

- 143. There existed a fiduciary relationship of trust, confidence, and reliance between Plaintiff and the Church.
- There existed a fiduciary relationship of trust, confidence, and reliance between 144. Plaintiff and the Diocese
- 145. Pursuant to its fiduciary relationship, the Church was entrusted with the well-being, care, and safety of Plaintiff.
- Pursuant to its fiduciary relationship, the Diocese was entrusted with the well-146. being, care, and safety of Plaintiff.
- Pursuant to its fiduciary relationship, the Church assumed a duty to act in the best 147. interests of Plaintiff.
- Pursuant to its fiduciary relationship, the Diocese assumed a duty to act in the best 148. interests of Plaintiff.
  - 149. The Church breached its fiduciary duties to Plaintiff.
  - 150. The Diocese breached its fiduciary duties to Plaintiff.
- At all times material hereto, the Church's actions and/or inactions were willful, 151. wanton, malicious, reckless, and/or outrageous in its disregard for the rights and safety of Plaintiff.
- At all times material hereto, the Diocese's actions and/or inactions were willful, 152. wanton, malicious, reckless, and/or outrageous in its disregard for the rights and safety of Plaintiff.

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

INDEX NO. 900009/2019

As a direct result of said conduct, Plaintiff has suffered the injuries and damages 153.

described herein.

SCEF DOC. NO. 1

By reason of the foregoing, Defendants, jointly, severally and/or in the alternative, 154.

are liable to Plaintiff for compensatory and punitive damages, together with interest and costs.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION: BREACH OF NON-DELEGABLE DUTY

155. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1

through 154 as if fully set forth herein.

156. Plaintiff, when he was a minor, was placed in the care of the Church for the

purposes of, inter alia, providing Plaintiff with a safe environment to receive an education.

As a result, there existed a non-delegable duty of trust between Plaintiff and the 157.

Church.

158. When Plaintiff was a minor, Plaintiff was placed in the care of the Diocese for the

purposes of, inter alia, providing Plaintiff with a safe environment to receive an education.

159. As a result, there existed a non-delegable duty of trust between Plaintiff and the

Diocese.

160. Plaintiff was a vulnerable child when placed within the care of the Church.

161. Plaintiff was a vulnerable child when placed within the care of the Diocese.

162. Consequently, the Church was in the best position to prevent Plaintiff's abuse, and

to learn of Father Anthony Trapani's repeated sexual abuse of Plaintiff and stop it.

163. Consequently, the Diocese was in the best position to prevent Plaintiff's abuse, and

to learn of Father Anthony Trapani's repeated sexual abuse of Plaintiff and stop it.

164. By virtue of the fact that Plaintiff was sexually abused as a minor student entrusted

to the care of the Church, the Church breached its non-delegable duty to Plaintiff.

INDEX NO. 900009/2019 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

By virtue of the fact that Plaintiff was sexually abused as a minor student entrusted 165.

to the care of the Diocese, the Diocese breached its non-delegable duty to Plaintiff.

166. At all times material hereto, Father Anthony Trapani was under the direct

supervision, employ and/or control of the Church.

167. At all times material hereto, Father Anthony Trapani was under the direct

supervision, employ and/or control of the Diocese.

As a direct result of said conduct, Plaintiff has suffered the injuries and damages 168.

described herein.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

169. By reason of the foregoing, Defendants, jointly, severally and/or in the alternative,

are liable to Plaintiff for compensatory and punitive damages, together with interest and costs.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION: BREACH OF DUTY IN LOCO PARENTIS

170. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1

through 169 as if fully set forth herein.

171. Plaintiff was a minor when his parents entrusted him to the control of the Church

for the purpose of, *inter alia*, providing Plaintiff with an education.

172. The Church owed a duty to adequately supervise its students to prevent foreseeable

injuries.

173. As a result, the Church owed a duty to Plaintiff in loco parentis.

174. Plaintiff was a minor when his parents entrusted him to the control of the Diocese

for the purposes of, *inter alia*, providing Plaintiff with an education.

The Diocese owed a duty to adequately supervise its students to prevent foreseeable 175.

injuries.

176. As a result, the Diocese owed a duty to Plaintiff in loco parentis.

27

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

INDEX NO. 900009/2019

177. The Church breached its duty in loco parentis.

178. The Diocese breached its duty in loco parentis.

At all times material hereto, the Church's actions were willful, wanton, malicious, 179.

reckless, negligent, grossly negligent and/or outrageous in its disregard for the rights and safety of

Plaintiff.

SCEF DOC. NO. 1

180. At all times material hereto, the Diocese's actions were willful, wanton, malicious,

reckless, negligent, grossly negligent and/or outrageous in its disregard for the rights and safety of

Plaintiff.

181. As a direct result of the Church's conduct, Plaintiff has suffered the injuries and

damages described herein.

182. As a direct result of the Diocese's conduct, Plaintiff has suffered the injuries and

damages described herein.

183. By reason of the foregoing, Defendants, jointly, severally and/or in the alternative,

are liable to Plaintiff for compensatory and punitive damages, together with interest and costs.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION: INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF **EMOTIONAL DISTRESS** 

Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1 184.

through 183 as if fully set forth herein.

185. At the time Father Anthony Trapani molested Plaintiff, which Father Anthony

Trapani knew would cause, or disregarded the substantial probability that it would cause, severe

emotional distress, the Church employed Father Anthony Trapani as Plaintiff's mentor and

counselor.

It was part of Father Anthony Trapani's job as role model and mentor to gain 186.

Plaintiff's trust. Father Anthony Trapani used his position, and the representations made by the

SCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 900009/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

Church about his character that accompanied that position, to gain Plaintiff's trust and confidence and to create opportunities to violate Plaintiff.

At the time Father Anthony Trapani molested Plaintiff, which Father Anthony 187.

Trapani knew would cause, or disregarded the substantial probability that it would cause, severe

emotional distress, the Diocese employed Father Anthony Trapani as Plaintiff's mentor and

counselor.

It was part of Father Anthony Trapani's job as role model and mentor to gain 188.

Plaintiff's trust. Father Anthony Trapani used his position, and the representations made by the

Diocese about his character that accompanied that position, to gain Plaintiff's trust and confidence

and to create opportunities to violate Plaintiff.

189. The Church knew and/or disregarded the substantial probability that Father

Anthony Trapani's conduct would cause severe emotional distress to Plaintiff.

190. The Diocese knew and/or disregarded the substantial probability that Father

Anthony Trapani's conduct would cause severe emotional distress to Plaintiff.

191. Plaintiff suffered severe emotional distress, including psychological and emotional

injury as described above.

192. This distress was caused by Father Anthony Trapani's sexual abuse of Plaintiff.

193. The sexual abuse of Plaintiff was extreme and outrageous conduct, beyond all

possible bounds of decency, atrocious and intolerable in a civilized community.

194. The Church is liable for Father Anthony Trapani's conduct under the doctrine of

respondeat superior.

195. The Diocese is liable for Father Anthony Trapani's conduct under the doctrine of

respondeat superior.

COUNTY CLERK 08/14/2019 03:12

INDEX NO. 900009/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

196. By reason of the foregoing, Defendants, jointly, severally and/or in the alternative,

are liable to Plaintiff for compensatory and punitive damages, together with interest and costs.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION: NEGLIGENT INFLICTION OF **EMOTIONAL DISTRESS** 

197. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1

through 196 as if fully set forth herein.

198. As set forth at length herein, the actions of the Church, its predecessors and/or

successors, agents, servants and/or employees, were conducted in a negligent and/or grossly

negligent manner.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

199. As set forth at length herein, the actions of the Diocese, its predecessors and/or

successors, agents, servants and/or employees were conducted in a negligent and/or grossly

negligent manner.

The Church's actions endangered Plaintiff's safety and caused him to fear for his 200.

own safety.

The Diocese's actions endangered Plaintiff's safety and caused him to fear for his 201.

own safety.

202. As a direct and proximate result of the Church's actions, which included but were

not limited to, negligent and/or grossly negligent conduct, Plaintiff suffered the severe injuries and

damages described herein, including but not limited to, mental and emotional distress.

As a direct and proximate result of the Diocese's actions, which included but were 203.

not limited to, negligent and/or grossly negligent conduct, Plaintiff suffered the severe injuries and

damages described herein, including but not limited to, mental and emotional distress.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 900009/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

204. In addition to its own direct liability for negligently inflicting emotional distress on

Plaintiff, the Church is also liable for Father Anthony Trapani's negligent infliction of emotional

distress under the doctrine of respondeat superior.

205. At the time Father Anthony Trapani breached his duty to Plaintiff, Father Anthony

Trapani was employed as Plaintiff's mentor and counselor by the Church.

It was part of Father Anthony Trapani's job as role model and mentor to gain 206.

Plaintiff's trust. Father Anthony Trapani used his position, and the representations made by the

Church about his character that accompanied that position, to gain Plaintiff's trust and confidence

and to create opportunities to be alone with, and touch, Plaintiff.

In addition to its own direct liability for negligently inflicting emotional distress on 207.

Plaintiff, the Diocese is also liable for Father Anthony Trapani's negligent infliction of emotional

distress under the doctrine of respondeat superior.

208. At the time Father Anthony Trapani breached his duty to Plaintiff, Father Anthony

Trapani was employed as Plaintiff's mentor and counselor by the Diocese.

209. It was part of Father Anthony Trapani's job as role model and mentor to gain

Plaintiff's trust. Father Anthony Trapani used his position, and the representations made by the

Diocese about his character that accompanied that position, to gain Plaintiff's trust and confidence

and to create opportunities to be alone with, and touch, Plaintiff.

By reason of the foregoing, Defendants, jointly, severally and/or in the alternative, 210.

are liable to Plaintiff for compensatory damages, and for punitive damages, together with interest

and costs.

COUNTY CLERK 08/14/2019 03:12

INDEX NO. 900009/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION: BREACH OF STATUTORY DUTY TO REPORT ABUSE UNDER SOC. SERV. LAW §§ 413, 420

211. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1

through 210 as if fully set forth herein.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

212. Pursuant to N.Y. Soc. Serv. Law §§ 413 and 420, the Church, including but not

limited to its personnel, teachers, and administrators had a statutorily imposed duty to report

reasonable suspicion of abuse of children in its care.

213. Pursuant to N.Y. Soc. Serv. Law §§ 413 and 420, the Diocese, including but not

limited to its personnel, teachers, and administrators had a statutorily imposed duty to report

reasonable suspicion of abuse of children in its care.

214. The Church breached that duty by knowingly and willfully failing to report

reasonable suspicion of abuse by Father Anthony Trapani of children in its care.

215. The Diocese breached that duty by knowingly and willfully failing to report

reasonable suspicion of abuse by Father Anthony Trapani of children in its care.

As a direct and/or indirect result of said conduct, Plaintiff has suffered injuries and 216.

damages described herein.

By reason of the foregoing, Defendants, jointly, severally and/or in the alternative, 217.

are liable to plaintiff for compensatory damages, and for punitive damages, together with interest

and costs.

**WHEREFORE**, it is respectfully requested that the Court grant judgment in this action in

favor of the Plaintiff, and against the Defendants, in a sum of money in excess of the jurisdictional

limits of all lower courts which would otherwise have jurisdiction, together with all applicable

interest, costs, disbursements, as well as punitive damages and such other, further and different

relief as the Court in its discretion shall deem to be just, proper and equitable.

32

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 900009/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

Plaintiff further places Defendants on notice and reserves the right that to interpose claims sounding in Fraudulent Concealment, Deceptive Practices and/or Civil Conspiracy should the facts

Dated: New York, New York August 14, 2019

and discovery materials support such claims.

Yours, etc.,

By: Adam P. Slater, Esq.

SLATER SLATER SCHULMAN LLP

Counsel for Plaintiff

488 Madison Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10022

(212) 922-0906

-and-

By. Gary Certain, Esq.

**ERTAIN & ZILBERG, PLLC** 

Counsel for Plaintiff

488 Madison Avenue, 20th Floor

New York, New York 10022

(212) 687-7800

COUNTY CLERK

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 900009/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

Adam P. Slater, an attorney duly admitted to practice law in the Courts of the State of

New York, hereby affirms the following statements to be true under the penalties of perjury,

pursuant to Rule 2106 of the CPLR:

Your affirmant is a partner of Slater Slater Schulman LLP, attorneys for the Plaintiff in

the within action;

That he has read the foregoing Complaint and knows the contents thereof; that the same

is true to his own knowledge, except as to the matters therein stated to be alleged upon

information and belief, and that as to those matters he believes it to be true.

Affirmant further states that the source of his information and the grounds for his belief

are derived from interviews with the Plaintiff and from the file maintained in the normal course

of business.

Affirmant further states that the reason this verification is not made by the Plaintiff is that

the Plaintiff is not presently within the County of New York, which is the county wherein the

attorneys for the Plaintiff herein maintain their offices.

Dated: Melville, New York

August 14, 2019

Adam P. Slater, Esq.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 900009/2019

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/14/2019

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NASSAU
------X
GERARD BLASICH, Index No.:

Plaintiff,
- against 
THE DIOCESE OF ROCKVILLE CENTRE and ST.
MARTHA ROMAN CATHOLIC CHURCH,

Defendants.

# **SUMMONS & VERIFIED COMPLAINT**

Slater Slater Schulman LLP Attorneys For Plaintiff 488 Madison Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10022

(212)922-0906

Certain & Zilberg, PLLC

Attorneys For Plaintiff

488 Madison Avenue, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor
New York, New York 10022

(212)687-7800

#### **CERTIFICATION**

Pursuant to 22 NYCRR §130-1.1-a, the undersigned, an attorney duly admitted to practice in the courts of the State of New York, certifies that, upon information and belief, and reasonable inquiry, the contentions contained in the annexed document are not frivolous as defined in subsection (c) of the aforesaid section.

Adam P. Slater, Esq.

Karv Certain, Esc